

REMARKS

Applicant has amended the claims with regards to the rejection of 35 USC 112. The Examiner states that there is no machine to have search functionality for within both portals. Applicant has amended the claims to show that the computer database serves this function. Further the display is the screen which has already been recited in the claims. Applicant has further cancelled claims 2 and 3. Further, applicant previously defined a portal as a collection of data files. This is a structural element, and has been defined so in many patents.

Because of applicant's amendments, the Examiner must read the language of the claims as "consisting of" and therefore, the prior art cannot make obvious the claims of the patent.

Applicant believes that the application is in condition for allowance.

"EXPRESS MAIL" Mailing Label No. EM 711255059 US
Date of Deposit: November 26, 2012
I hereby certify that this paper (and any document(s) attached herewith
Is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail
Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. 1.10 on the
date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner
for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313 on
November 26, 2012

Signature: _____

Name: Debbie Broderick

Respectfully submitted,



Philip M. Weiss
Reg. No. 34,751
Attorney for Applicant
Weiss & Weiss
410 Jericho Turnpike, St. 105
Jericho, NY 11753
(516) 739-1500